

Title of paper:	COMMON ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK (CAF) TARGETS REPORT		
Report to:	Children's Partnership Board		
Date:	26 <sup>th</sup> January 2011		
Director(s)/Corporate	Candida Brudenell , Director, Quality	Wards affected:	
Director(s):	and Commissioning, Children and		
	Families, Nottingham City Council		
Contact Officer(s)	Mandy Smith - CAF and Lead Profess	ional Manager Notting	gham City
and contact details:	Council mandy.smith@nottinghamcity	y.gov.uk	
Relevant Children and	Young People's Plan (CYPP) objecti	ves(s):	
, ,	Intervention - Children, young people and		✓
from early and effective su	upport and protection to empower them to o	vercome difficulties	
Strong families - More families will be strong and healthy, providing an enjoyable and safe			✓
place for children to grow	up		
	Idren and young people - Children and yo		✓
healthier, fitter, more emo	tionally resilient and better able to make ma	ture decisions	
Achievement - All children and young people will leave school with the best skills and			✓
qualifications they can ach	nieve and will be ready for work or further le	arning	
Economic well-being - Child poverty will be significantly reduced			✓

## Summary of issues (including benefits to customers/service users):

This report is in response to the previous report presented in November 2010 and offers:

- Additional clarity in relation to setting Partnership CAF targets for specific groups of vulnerable children.
- Recognises exceptions categories
- Offers estimated numbers for target groups (where obtainable)
- Explores options for implementation of the targets
- Supplies current data in relation to outcomes recorded
- Information about outcomes for Children and young people, via case studies will be circulated to the Senior Officers Group as requested following the meeting.

Reco	ommendations:
1	Consider and adopt the recommended target groups for CAF assessments
2	Consider options for implementation and make recommendations
3	That the Children's Partnership ensure that their agencies support monitoring of the target
	groups by providing statistical data in relation to their CAF activity, including naming a Senior Officer responsible for doing this.
4	Identify any immediate support / actions required to support implementation of the targets.

## 1. BACKGROUND AND PROPOSALS (Explanatory detail and background to the recommendations)

The Senior Officers Group agreed to explore a more prescriptive approach to CAF by agreeing target groups of children and young people. At the November meeting of the Senior Officers Group target groups were proposed. Discussion explored capacity issues with the recommended groups which led to a request for:

- The suggested targets to be refined, with further clarity and recognition of exception categories
- Options for implementation in a graduated way
- An indication of numbers to help establish impact on capacity
- Information in relation to outcomes

In response to this the following information is offered.

## Refined Target groups:

## Recommended targets for Senior Officers Group to agree are:

- All children who are permanently excluded \*
- All children who reach statutory trigger for being **persistently absent** where Education Welfare Service is automatically notified\*
- All children who receive 2 or more fixed term exclusions in a 6 month period where there is a history of challenging behaviour, non engagement or where patterns of behaviour are emerging.\*\*
- All children who are on the Early Support Programme
- All children managed through family support (level 2 & 3) at Children's Centres and MALT
- All **teenage parents** aged 17 and under
- All children receiving targeted youth support through the Challenge and Support Team\*\*\*
- All children prior to request for statutory assessment of Special Education Needs (SEN) process. \*\*\*\*

## It is also recommended that further targets are shaped and set by April 2011 in relation to children who:

- Use substances problematically (ideally prior to accessing treatment services / as part of treatment plan and to support exit strategy.) \*
- Experience domestic violence (e.g. when assessed as medium risk using the Risk Identification Form)\*
- **Are young carers** (e.g. when accessing young carers support)
- Are in homeless accommodation for more than 6 months

## The relationship between CAF and specialist services must be clarified; it is recommended that targets are also worked up for this, including:

- A percentage of children who's involvement with the Youth Offending Tem (YOT) ends
- A percentage of children who are referred to social care and a percentage following involvement with social care

<sup>\*</sup>Exceptions those who are already open to Children's Social Care, YOT, Family Intervention Project, or open to specialist CAMHS with a Care programme Plan; or where it is an absolute one off incident where no previous concerns exist.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Exceptions those pupils whose exclusions are an absolute one off incident trigged by an automatic fixed term exclusion i.e. swearing at staff, or smoking on school premises.

\*\*\*Exceptions, where a pre assessment has evidenced that no further support is required.

\*\*\*\*Exceptions where a child/ young person has been suffered sudden debilitating illness or accident, e.g. a road Traffic accident; or newly arrived in the country with very obvious and profound disabilities.

Agreeing and enabling targets in these areas will strengthen our early intervention approach and further encourage agencies to embed the CAF assessment as their key assessment tool; thus avoiding delay and promoting a holistic approach.

As highlighted in the previous CAF report agencies do not always see the need to do an assessment when they believe they only need the support of one other agency to meet need. This means they are working with symptoms and the root cause of the difficulty is not established; consequently the window of opportunity for early intervention can be missed and needs accelerate rather then decrease. Setting some of these targets will support earlier intervention.

Recommendations of the Children's Workforce Training and Development Review also have a bearing on this debate with the suggestion that to really 'develop and embrace CAF it has to become the main form for recording and identifying needs for all agencies, replacing other internal documentation'

Comments from the Senior Officers Group in relation to these refined targets are invited.

## Options for implementation

### Option 1

- Use the targets as grouped above as priority groups for implementation with an:
  - o Immediate group
  - Secondary group (six months time)
  - o Third group (12 months)

#### Option 2

- Where the Target is 100% (mainly the first two groups) agree a target date for full implementation and work back with markers, i.e.
  - o April 2011 50%
  - o July 2011 75%
  - o Oct 2011 100%

## Option 3

Using all groups agree from this point forward all children and young people who reach
the triggers defined will be supported with the CAF. CAFs will not be done retrospectively
unless current provision is failing to address need or provide co-ordinated support. (This
should include parental views as to whether things are working for them).

Example: The CAF team have already met with the Education Welfare Service and agreed a plan for implementation using option 2, combined with an early intervention selection criteria aimed at addressing issues at the earliest opportunity and avoiding further deterioration.

Option choice may be dependent on target group or main service area delivering on them.

## **Estimation of numbers**

Numbers for all categories are being worked up; some key areas are outlined below:

 All children prior to request for statutory assessment of Special Education Needs (SEN) process

Year 2010 - 2011	Average per month across Nottm
Quarter One	12
Quarter Two	7

• Are young carers (e.g. when accessing young carers support)

Period	Total number in receipt of support	Average per month
Oct 2009 – Sept 2010	101	9

• All children who reach statutory trigger for being persistently absent

Example of an individual Education Welfare Officers case load

Total number of referrals for half term one	28
Number with existing CAF	3
Number open to Social Care	1
Number open to FIP	3
Number already improved since trigger hit	9
Number requiring a CAF	12

• All children managed through family support (level 2 & 3) at Children's Centres and MALT

Time Period	Total number of	Total for South	Total for North	Total for Central
	referrals across	Locality (6	Locality (6	Locality (5
	the city	centres)	centres)	Centres)
Weekly meeting	29	10	14	5
26/10/10				
Weekly meeting	15	6	5	4
02/11/10				
Weekly meeting	31	6	12	13
08/11/10				
Weekly meeting	25	8	9	8
16/11/10				
Full 4 week period	100	41	49	48
Average per		7	8	10
centre per week				

N.B Data supplied by Family and Community teams access panel, some of these children will already be open to Children's Social Care so will not require a CAF.

All children who are permanently excluded \*

Term (2009/10)	Primary (issued by city schools)		Total	Secondary (issued by city schools)	Secondary (city pupils attending county schools)	Total
Autumn	3	0	3	18	5	23
Summer	3	1	4	19	8	27

Children receiving 2 or more fixed exclusions in a six month period

Term (2009/10)	Primary (number of pupils)	Primary (number of exclusions issued)	Secondary (number of pupils)	Secondary (number of exclusions issued)
Autumn	79	114	271	341
Summer	86	108	265	359

As the number issued is greater than the number of children it would indicate some children are receiving more than one.

### Information in relation to CAF Outcomes

Since we began recording CAFs on a central data base including early pilots in late 2006 to beginning of November 2010

Total number of CAFs initiated	1390
Total closed	750

Main reasons for closure:

Needs met 253 (34%)
Transferred into social care 163 (22%)
Reduced to single agency support 73 (10%)

66% closed with a positive outcome

The previous meeting also made a request for some case studies to demonstrated positive outcomes achieved by the CAF. Three case studies have been written up and will be available for circulation following the meeting.

## <u>CAF / Integrated Working Regional Standards</u>

A set of proposed minimum standards for processes around the CAF has been developed by the Joint Regional Improvement and Efficiency Plan (JRIEP) regional working group. These standards will now be presented to each Children's Partnership by the Directors for Childrens Services for consideration and adoption. To support the implementation of these standards the CAF regional working group will continue to meet and develop a selection of tools to aid this. Nottingham City will continue to be part of this work.

#### Performance Management

If targets are to be set it is important that we then monitor these target groups and check compliance. Last year the Senior Officers Group agreed in principal the implementation of a

performance management framework; implementation of this has proved difficult, getting agencies to collate the data when they often don't have systems in place to capture it, or there is no responsible officer to formulate and submit a report. Work in this area now needs to be considered in line with regional developments in relation to a Regional CAF Quality Standards, and any targets set. This work will be a priority for the Integrated Processes Board over the next six months. All partner agencies need to identify a named worker who the CAF team can link with who will be responsible for CAF Performance Management in their agency and who will support with quality assurance activity. The CAF team will contact Senior Officers after the meeting to collate names

#### 2. RISKS

CAF numbers may not increase without a more prescriptive approach.

#### 3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Some of the regional standards do have financial implications in terms of administration resources to collate and manage quality assurance processes, e.g. audit activity. Other regions have facilities for central storage and information management systems. The CAF team will work up a budget outline required for 2011/12

#### 4. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no legal implications.

#### 5. CLIENT GROUP

The Common Assessment framework is relevant to all children, young people and their families.

### 6. IMPACT ON EQUALITIES ISSUES

Implementation of the CAF gives us an equitable approach to dealing with the identified needs of our vulnerable children and young people

#### 7. OUTCOMES AND PRIORITIES AFFECTED

The Common Assessment Framework is a cross-cutting theme, which when implemented successfully would contribute to all outcomes and priorities of the Children and Young Peoples Plan.

#### 8. CONTACT DETAILS

Mandy Smith, CAF and Lead Professional Manager, Nottingham City Council,2<sup>nd</sup> floor Loxley House, Tel: 0115 9152957 Mob: 075 075 97907 e-mail - mandy.smith@niottinghamcity.gov.uk

## Common Assessment Frameworks (CAFs) by Agency: Quarter 3 2010/11

Adult Services	1
NCC Adult Services	1
Children's Services	67
Alternative Learning (PRU)	2
Childrens Centres	39
Education Welfare Service	2
MALT - CEPS	2
MALT - Community CAMHS	2
MALT - Family Support	1
MALT - Targeted Youth Support	5
Play worker	1
Social Care	11
Special Educational Needs	1
Youth worker	1
Connexions	1
Connexions	1
Health	13
Health Visiting	10
Midwifery	2
Other - GP, Physiotherapy, etc	1
Schools	46
Academy	1
Primary School	29
Secondary School	14
Special School	2
Unknown	1
Unknown	1
Voluntary Sector	3
Voluntary Sector	3
Total Number of CAFs = 132	

# **Total Common Assessment Frameworks (CAFs) by Agency** (April 2008 - December 2010, inc. earlier pilots prior to launch)

Adult Services	9
NCC Adult Services	1
Nottingham City Homes	6
Notts Health Care Trust (A Not C)	2
Children's Services	519
Alternative Learning (PRU)	26
Behaviour Support Team	9
Childrens Centres	279
Education Welfare Service	38
Family Intervention Project	8
Historical service (Asy / Tra)	3
Inclusive Education Service	3
MALT - CEPS	8
MALT - Community CAMHS	38
MALT - Family Support	1
MALT - Targeted Youth Support	5
Play worker	5
Portage worker	14
Social Care	34
Special Educational Needs	18
Youth Offending Team	10
Youth worker	20
Connexions	3
Connexions	3
Health	271
Family Nurse Partnership	12
Health Visiting	195
Hospitals	3
Midwifery	28
Other - GP, Physiotherapy, etc	11
School Nursing	21
Sexual Health Service	1
Police	12
Police	12
Private / Community	12

Schools Academy Further Education College Independent School Primary School Secondary School Special School Specialist CAMHS SPECIALIST CAMHS Unknown Unknown Voluntary Sector	12
Further Education College Independent School Primary School Secondary School Special School Specialist CAMHS SPECIALIST CAMHS Unknown Unknown	581
Independent School  Primary School  Secondary School  Special School  Specialist CAMHS  SPECIALIST CAMHS  Unknown  Unknown	12
Primary School  Secondary School  Special School  Specialist CAMHS  SPECIALIST CAMHS  Unknown  Unknown	1
Secondary School  Special School  Specialist CAMHS  SPECIALIST CAMHS  Unknown  Unknown	1
Special School Specialist CAMHS SPECIALIST CAMHS Unknown Unknown	383
Specialist CAMHS  SPECIALIST CAMHS  Unknown  Unknown	182
SPECIALIST CAMHS Unknown Unknown	2
Unknown Unknown	4
Unknown	4
	1
Voluntary Sector	1
Voluntary Sector	61
Voluntary Sector	61
Total Number of CAFs = 1473	